

# Geodetic Data for USGS Benchmark Glaciers: Orthophotos, Digital Elevation Models, and Glacier Boundaries

Last Revision: 07/2019

**Overview:** This document describes geodetic data collected for the USGS Benchmark Glacier Project.

## SUMMARY

Geodetic data is commonly used to quantify glacier area, glacier hypsometry, and the change in glacier volume and mass (e.g., Cogley and others, 2011; Zemp and others, 2013; van Beusekom and others, 2010; O’Neel and others, 2014, 2019). Here we describe how the USGS produces these basin-scale data, and the format in which they are preserved and disseminated.

Gridded products comprise the first class of data and include orthorectified images and Digital Elevation Models (DEMs). Prior to the early 2000s, these grids were derived from aerial stereo photography or historic topographic maps. More recently, high-resolution space-borne imagery facilitated DEM and orthoimage production using approaches described herein. The second class of data are shapefiles of glacier boundaries. These are interpreted products, produced via manual digitization of the boundary between rock and ice identified from orthorectified images, or the boundary between neighboring glaciers identified from ice divide velocity maps.

## PURPOSE

Geodetic measurements collected on North American glaciers since the mid-1940s characterize glacier surface elevation and area. These products enable glacier mass balance estimates independent of other traditional methods (e.g. glaciological or gravimetric). Herein we describe available geodetic data products for the USGS Benchmark Glacier Project and outline the techniques employed to produce these products.

## PROJECTION AND DATUM

All maps and coordinates provided are referenced to the World Geodetic Survey (WGS) 1984 Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate system. Gulkana and Wolverine glaciers are located in UTM zone 6N (EPSG 26906), Lemon Creek Glacier is in UTM Zone 8N (EPSG 26908), South Cascade Glacier is located in UTM zone 10N (EPSG 26910), and Sperry Glacier is located in UTM zone 12N (EPSG 26912). Elevations are referenced to the WGS84 ellipsoid. This projection information is embedded in the geospatial formats of the geodetic data provided herein.

## METHODS

Photogrammetric methods form the basis of USGS Benchmark Glacier Project geodetic products. Data processing techniques have evolved over the lifespan of the project, but each technique employs photogrammetry to provide spatially extensive glacier surface elevations (DEMs) in a common reference

frame. Typically, we produce ortho-rectified mosaics (Sevara, 2013) from the same images used in DEM construction. Here, we describe the three photogrammetric methods used to produce DEMs in historical order from oldest to newest. Additionally, we describe our approach to extract glacier margins from orthomosaics, as well as the format of attribute tables within each glacier margin shapefile.

1. Photogrammetry/Gridded Products: Both airborne and spaceborne (e.g. WorldView, IKONOS) platforms have been used to acquire stereo optical imagery of glaciers in North America. Below we detail the analyses used to produce geodetic data from imagery sources.
  - a. Analog Recovery: The oldest available DEMs were derived using analog methods. The original topographic maps were created using stereo-plotters guided by plane table benchmarks. High quality scanning (Scanning) of the maps allowed elevation contours to be manually digitized and interpolated using a natural neighbor interpolation routine (Sibson, 1981). For older DEMs at Sperry Glacier, absolute elevation errors due to the sometimes poorly resolved historic elevation datum were corrected (i.e. Florentine et al., 2018).
  - b. Aerial Imagery: Airborne optical stereo imagery has been acquired over glaciers in North America since the mid-1940s. However, most of these images (e.g. Nolan et al., 2017) lack exterior (i.e. camera location and position) and interior (i.e. camera focal length and radial lens distortion) information. Structure from motion (Sfm) algorithms provide means to optimize both exterior and interior camera orientations based on ground control points (GCPs), in lieu of exterior and interior camera information (Verhoeven et al., 2012). Using opportunistic GCPs (e.g. boulders, buildings, intersecting rock joints) visible in both aerial imagery and high-resolution georeferenced images (typically satellite imagery), and available focal lengths if physically printed on aerial imagery, we optimized camera calibrations and locations, tying Sfm point clouds and the resulting DEMs and ortho images to the landscape (e.g. Kienholz et al., 2016).

Recent increases in the affordability of high-resolution consumer-grade cameras, and access to precision global positioning systems, has permitted aerial imagery to be acquired in bulk, at relatively low cost since 2014. These additional aerial imagery datasets were obtained via a Nikon D810 camera with a Distagon 25 mm f/2.0 ZF.2 Lens, installed in a Cessna 180 with a camera port. A Trimble R7 GPS connected to an externally mounted Sensor Systems L1/L2 antenna recorded raw GPS data at 5 Hz for aircraft positioning. The camera remote flash port was used to trigger event markers in the Trimble R7 data recording, thereby precisely timing each shutter actuation. Although the exterior and interior orientations of recently acquired images are known, DEMs and ortho images were still produced using Sfm to maintain consistency with DEMs derived from older aerial imagery and to leverage the automated workflow of commercial Sfm-based commercial software (e.g., Agisoft Photoscan).

Dense point clouds derived from Sfm were directly converted to DEMs, with no interpolation/extrapolation applied to unresolved areas of the DEMs. For ortho images, we derive an interpolated mesh from dense point clouds, which was then used to orthorectify and mosaic images.

- c. Satellite Imagery: Commercially sourced satellite imagery (i.e. Digital Globe) was processed using the open source Automated Stereogrammetry Software Ames Stereo Pipeline (ASP) (Shean et al., 2016). Classified satellite imagery (i.e. National Technical Means) was processed using commercially available software (SOCET SET). DEMs derived from classified sources are made publicly available herein, while the ortho images remain classified and hence, not publicly available. Caution should be used in the analysis of DEMs generated by SOCET SET photogrammetry software as they contain interpolated areas where elevations were unresolved. These areas can be easily identified by inspecting hillshade files derived from the DEM. For commercially obtained satellite imagery, processed using ASP, no interpolation was applied in unresolved areas of the DEMs.
2. Glacier Boundary Shapefiles: Glacier boundaries were either digitized from original topographic maps (Johnson, 1980) or manually delineated along well-defined regions of the glacier margin (e.g. debris bands or between barren ground and ice) using imagery described in the preceding text. This step was sufficient for glaciers that occupied a well-constrained basin. However, glaciers with ice divides that are shared with other glaciers (Taku), required an extra step. For these glaciers, which also tended to be positioned in perennially snow-covered areas, glacier flow velocity fields (Burgess et al., 2013) were used to define glacier boundaries, i.e. glacier outlines were defined along divergent velocity fields (Keinholz et al., 2015). In these locations, we assumed ice divides were stationary and did not move through time. In years with multiple images, we utilized the image closest to the end of the mass balance year. Additional outlines for Glacier National Park have been published previously by USGS in Fagre et al. (2017). The 2005 Sperry Glacier boundary released here is from this previous publication (Fagre et al., 2017), although all other glacier boundary data are novel. For each glacier boundary, we include the year and specific date the boundary represents, the imagery used to produce the glacier boundary, planar metric area, and the length of the glacier measured along a centerline profile.

## DATA

Geodetic data are presented in two formats: Geo Tagged Image File Formats (GeoTIFF; .tif) and shapefiles (.shp). DEMs and/or orthorectified images derived from photogrammetry are .tif format, and glacier areas derived from margin tracing are .shp format. Data are organized into folders by glacier and by the type of data, as laid out below.

### DEMs

- Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) are given in GeoTIFF format. Individual files are named according to the glacier and date of image acquisition, following the convention [Glacier]\_[yyyy].[mm].[dd]\_DEM.tif. For example, a DEM derived from aerial photographs of Lemon Creek Glacier taken on September 18<sup>th</sup>, 1957 is labeled LemonCreek\_1957.09.18\_DEM.tif.

## Orthos

- Orthophotos are given in GeoTIFF format. Individual files are named according to the glacier and date of image acquisition, following the convention [Glacier]\_[yyyy].[mm].[dd]\_Ortho.tif. For example, an orthophoto derived from aerial photographs of Lemon Creek Glacier taken on September 18<sup>th</sup>, 1957 is labeled LemonCreek\_1957.09.18\_Ortho.tif.

## GlacierBoundaries

- Glacier boundaries are given as shapefiles. Glacier boundary shapefile attribute fields include the name of the glacier, the glacier boundary for each year, the specific date of imagery acquisition, glacier area, glacier length, and imagery source. The associated attribute table contains the following information:

**Glacier Name:** Name of the glacier

**Year:** Year of glacier margin

**Date:** Specific date of imagery acquisition (yyyy/mm/dd)

**Area:** Area of glacier (km<sup>2</sup>)

**Length:** Length of glacier along centerline (km)

### [Glacier]\_Geodetic\_Metadata.csv

Relevant metadata details for each set of orthophotos/ DEMs and glacier boundaries associated with a single date. Columns contain the following information:

- **Date:** date of imagery acquisition (yyyy/mm/dd)
- **DEM Pixel Size:** pixel size; raster spatial resolution (m)
- **Ortho Pixel Size:** pixel size; raster spatial resolution (m)
- **DEM Glacier Coverage:** percent of glacier area covered by DEM (%)
- **Glacier Area:** area of glacier, as measured in associated shapefile (km<sup>2</sup>)
- **Technique:** method of DEM creation
- **Platform:** type of imagery used, i.e. aerial or satellite
- **Source:** source imagery used, i.e. scanned aerial photo collection or specific satellite
- **DEM Technician:** last name of technician who created the orthophoto and/or DEM associated with this date
- **Boundary Technician:** last name of technician who digitized the glacier margin associated with this date.

## SATELLITE IMAGERY ATTRIBUTION

The source of satellite imagery for each ortho/ DEM pair is listed in each glacier's

[Glacier]\_Geodetic\_Metadata.csv file under the **source** column. For images listing Worldview as the source, imagery is provided courtesy of DigitalGlobe.

## SUGGESTED CITATION:

Where possible, please cite larger mass balance project data collection, of which this release is a part:

Baker, E. H., McNeil, C. J., Sass, L. C. Peitzsch, E. H., Florentine, C. E., Whorton, E. N., O'Neel, S. R., Fagre, D. B., Clark, A. M., and Miller, Z. S. 2018, USGS Benchmark Glacier Mass Balance and Project Data: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/F7BG2N8R>

This dataset can be cited separately, if needed, as:

McNeil, C. J., Florentine, C. E., Bright, V. A. L., Fahey, M. J., McCann, E., Larsen, C. F., Thoms, E. E., Shean, D. E., McKeon, L. A., March, R. S., Keller, W., Whorton, E. N., O'Neel, S., and Baker, E. H, 2019, Geodetic Data for USGS Benchmark Glaciers: Orthophotos, Digital Elevation Models, and Glacier Boundaries (ver 1.0, May 2019): U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9R8BP3K>.

## REFERENCES

- Burgess, E., R. Forster, and C. Larsen. Flow velocities of Alaskan glaciers: *Nature Communications* 4 (2013): 1–8.
- Cogley, J., R. Hock, L. Rasmussen, A. Arendt, A. Bauder, R. Braithwaite, P. Jansson, G. Kaser, M. Möller, L. Nicholson, et al.. Glossary of glacier mass balance and related terms, IHP-VII technical documents in hydrology No. 86, IACS Contribution No. 2 (2011): UNESCO-IHP, Paris.
- Fagre, D., L. McKeon, K. Dick, and A. Fountain. Glacier margin time series (1966, 1998, 2005, 2015) of the named glaciers of Glacier National Park, MT, USA: U.S. Geological Survey data release (2017). [doi.org/10.5066/F7P26WB1](https://doi.org/10.5066/F7P26WB1).
- Florentine, C., J. Harper, D. Fagre, J. Moore, and E. Peitzsch. Local topography increasingly influences the mass balance of a retreating cirque glacier. *The Cryosphere* 12 (2018): 2109-2122.
- Johnson, A. Grinnell and Sperry Glaciers, Glacier National Park, Montana. A record of vanishing ice. Geological Survey. Open-File Report 1180 (1980): 1-29.
- Kienholz, C., R. Hock, M. Truffer, A. Arendt, and S. Arko Geodetic mass balance of surge-type Black Rapids Glacier, Alaska, 1980–2001–2010, including role of rockslide deposition and earthquake displacement. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 121.12 (2016): 2358-2380.
- Nolan, M., A. Post, W. Hauer, A. Zinck, and S. O'Neel. Photogrammetric scans of aerial photographs of North American glaciers. Arctic Data Center (2017). [doi:10.18739/A21R9G](https://doi.org/10.18739/A21R9G).
- O'Neel, S., E. Hood, A. Arendt, and L. Sass. Assessing streamflow sensitivity to variations in glacier mass balance: *Climatic Change* 123.2 (2014): 329–341.
- O'Neel, S., McNeil, C. J., Florentine, C. E., Sass, L. C., Baker, E. H., Peitzsch, E. H., McGrath, D., Fountain, A. G., Fagre, D. B. (2019); in submission., The U.S. Geological Survey Benchmark Glaciers: Long-term insight into climate forcing of glacier mass balance, *Journal of Glaciology*.
- Sevara, C. Top secret topographies: recovering two and three-dimensional archaeological information from historic reconnaissance datasets using image-based modelling techniques. *International Journal of Heritage in the Digital Era* 2.3 (2013): 395-418.
- Shean, D., O. Alexandrov, Z. Moratto, B. Smith, I. Joughin, C. Porter, and P. Morin. An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models (DEMs) from very high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 116 (2016): 101-117.

- Sibson, R. A brief description of natural neighbor interpolation, in *Interpolating Multivariate Data*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981): 21-36.
- Van Beusekom, A., S. O'Neel, R. March, L. Sass, and L. Cox. Re-analysis of Alaskan Benchmark Glacier Mass-Balance Data Using the Index Method Scientific Investigations Report 2010 – 5247. US Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report (2010): 1-16.
- Verhoeven, G., T. Devi, and F. Vermeulen. "Computer vision-based orthophoto mapping of complex archaeological sites: The ancient quarry of Pitaranha (Portugal–Spain)." *Archaeometry* 54.6 (2012): 1114-1129.
- Zemp, M., E. Thibert, M. Huss, D. Stumm, C. Rolstad Denby, C. Nuth, S. Nussbaumer, G. Moholdt, A. Mercer, C. Mayer, P. Joerg, P. Jansson, B. Hynek, A. Fischer, H. Escher-Vetter, H. Elvehoy, L. Andreassen. Reanalysing glacier mass balance measurement series. *The Cryosphere* 7 (2013): 1227-1245.